- 1. Introduction
- Importance of risk assessment for product quality/supply chain
- Use of quantitative analysis  $\rightarrow$  simulated and non-simulated
  - -MCS
  - TOPSIS
  - SMART
  - Conditional probability
- Remaining report layout
- 2. Quality and Safety Risks

- Layout of secion

2.1 Preliminary Risk Assessment

- Operational and Hazardous risk importance
- Role in qualitative analysis simulated and non-simulated

Talala	4. 6	2-1	<b>L</b> -	Dueduet	0		Cumul		· l
iapie	1: F	KISKS	τΟ	Product	Quality	/ À	Suppr	уC	.nain

	Operational Risks	На	zardous Risks
Internal		External	
Cyber Th	reats	Natural Disas	ters
	Excavation		Earthquake
	Hardware integrity attack		Flood
	Malicious logic insertion		Snow/ice
	Manipulation during distribution		Powergrid failure
	Metadata Spoofing		Hurricane
	Modification during manufacture		Landslide
	Resource location spoofing		Storm
	Software integrity attack	Climate Cha	nge
External			Drought
Product Qu	iality		Extreme Heat
	Raw materials		Wildfire
	Regional standards		
	Transport Interruption		

- 2.2 Historical and Non-Simulated Risk Data
- Importance of historical / objective data in risk assessment
- section layout
- 2.2.1 Natural and Man-Made Disasters
- Explanation of quan analysis (Appendix I)
- Explanation of data

## SRM\_2022

# - Implications for possible mitigations

Table 2: Natural and Man-Made Disasters 198	0-2021 by Probability
---	-----------------------

Disaster Category		Country					
	France	Germany	Greece	Italy	Netherlands	Romania	
Climatological	1.45%	0.09%	1.45%	1.03%	0.00%	0.17%	
Geophysical	0.09%	0.17%	2.22%	2.22%	0.09%	0.26%	
Hydrological	5.39%	1.88%	2.22%	4.62%	0.34%	4.36%	
Meteorilogical	8.04%	5.90%	1.28%	2.99%	2.82%	2.48%	
Technological	5.22%	3.85%	4.02%	7.10%	1.37%	1.80%	
Total % (Country)	20.19%	11.89%	11.21%	17.96%	4.62%	9.07%	

Disaster Category			Count		
	Poland	Portugal	Spain	UK	Total % (Category)
Climatological	0.26%	1.54%	1.88%	N/A	7.87%
Geophysical	0.09%	0.00%	0.17%	N/A	5.30%
Hydrological	1.28%	0.94%	2.74%	N/A	23.78%
Meteorilogical	3.59%	1.37%	2.91%	N/A	31.39%
Technological	1.88%	1.28%	5.13%	N/A	31.65%
Total % (Country)	7.10%	5.13%	12.83%	N/A	100.00%

## 2.2.2 Cyber Security Vulnerabilities

- CAPEC supply chain (can also effect product quality)

- Explain. of quan analysis (Appendix II)

- Explain. of relevant data (Appendix III)

# Table 3: TOPSIS Pi Top Ten (Ver 2)

Vulnerability	Pi	Percentage
Leveraging/Manipulating Configuration File Search Paths	1	1.27%
WSDL Scanning (var. 1)	0.91	1.27%
WSDL Scanning (var. 2)	0.83	1.27%
Directory Indexing (var. 1)	0.82	1.27%
Bluetooth Impersonation AttackS (BIAS)	0.82	1.27%
Repo Jacking	0.82	1.27%
Collect Data from Registeries	0.76	1.27%
Collect Data from Screen Capture	0.76	1.27%
Metadata Spoofing	0.76	1.27%

Total Attack Surface:		12.66%
Altered Component Firmware (var. 3)	0.73	1.27%
SRM_2022		L. M. Saxton

2.3 Pampered Pets' Simulated Risk Assessment

- Explanation of simulated assessment
- Explanation of MCS
- Explanation of intent and assumptions

2.3.1 Assessment Results

-Explanation of data

- Possible implications

## Table 4: Monte Carlo Simulation – Product Quality & Supply Chain Risk

<b>Risk Category</b>	Target	Timeframe	Subjective Prob.	Quantitative Prob.
Cloud server breach	Inventory	>24 months	20%	5%
Supply chain disruption	Ingredients	<12 months	10%	7%
Warehouse disruption	Orders	<12 months	66%	5%
Warehouse disruption	Machine failure	<18 months	10%	1%
Cloud server breach	Supplier info	>24 months	5%	4%
Warehouse disruption	Power outage	< 24 months	3%	5%
Supply chain disruption	Flooding	>36 months	7%	5%
Supply chain disruption	Drought	>48 months	2%	4%

Risk Category	90% Confide	onfidence Interval Std. Deviation		Financial Impact	
	Lower Range	<u>Upper Range</u>			
Cloud server breach	\$2,000,000	\$3,000,000	0.1232417	\$2,458,486.01	
Supply chain disruption	\$40,000	\$60,000	0.1232417	\$54,470.46	
Warehouse disruption	\$70,000	\$105,000	0.1232417	\$93,423.34	
Warehouse disruption	\$250,000	\$380,000	0.1272676	\$362,304.74	
Cloud server breach	\$70,000	\$155,000	0.24162	\$95,763.21	
Warehouse disruption	\$90,000	\$150,000	0.1552661	\$122,324.88	
Supply chain disruption	\$240,000	\$500,000	0.2230909	\$341,853.60	
Supply chain disruption	\$180,000	\$260,000	.1117704	\$231,815.70	

Table 5: Pampered Pets' Risk Assessment Conclusions

Avg. Subjective Probability	Avg. Quantitative Probability	Potential Disruption Cost
15.3%	4.45%	\$2,693,846.51

## L. M. Saxton

## SRM\_2022

#### 2.4 Possible Mitigations

- Why mitigations are necessary

## 2.3.1 Natural and Man-Made Disaster Mitigation

- Assumptions of mitigation plan
- Quantitative simulation

-Why another Monte Carlo?

- Data implications

#### Table 6: Monte Carlo Simulation - Inventory

15000\$230,075.8854397.63\$165,468\$318,21025000\$230,599.2354269.19\$166,105\$317,606	rofit
2 5000 \$230,599.23 54269.19 \$166,105 \$317,606	2
	6
3 5000 \$230,960.33 54432.20 \$166,105 \$317,606	6
4 5000 \$231,867.46 54401.95 \$165,637 \$318,210	D
5 5000 \$230,749.71 54342.21 \$165,637 \$318,210	С
6 5000 \$230,837.02 54287.12 \$165,217 \$316,768	8
7 5000 \$230,506.15 54371.16 \$165,217 \$316,768	8

#### Table 7: MC Simulation - Stock, 24 Months

Policy	Order Cost	Sales Revenue	Holding Cost	Out-of-Stock	O-of-S Prob.	Salvage Value
1	\$104, 650	\$432,268	\$108,015	0	0%	\$15,099
2	\$104,650	\$536,030	\$84,496	2	8%	\$17,682
3	\$3,600	\$57,000	\$4,957	22	92%	\$300
4	\$109,800	\$540,335	\$178,415	0	0%	\$30,699
5	\$8,050	\$78,500	\$4,857	22	92%	\$900
6	\$10,800	\$73,200	\$5,257	22	92%	\$1,200
7	\$12,650	\$100,500	\$4857	22	92%	\$1,500

Policy	<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Risk of Loss</b>
2	Middle	33%
3	Worst	200%
4	Best	0%

- Explaination of SMART (Appendix IV)

- Why not include risk prob score from above: UK was not included, skewed data

- Subjective quant. analysis based on what PP is assumed to value

- Implications of data if the above is to be utilized

Supplier Country	Crop Output (€M)	<b>Crop Price</b>	Animal Output (€M)	<b>Animal Price</b>
France	€47,973.66	€128.30	€26,847.40	€112.80
Germany	€29,698.62	€129.30	€25,917.59	€116.50
Greece	€8,725.22	€156.10	€2,455.55	€125.80
Italy	€34,283.10	€124.30	€16,353.91	€113.70
Netherlands	€15,671.56	€118.70	€10,954.00	€113.50
Poland	€13,620.87	€131.10	€13,584.02	€117.20
Portugal	€6,072.62	€126.60	€3,053.82	€115.20
Romania	€15,028.32	€334.50	€4,245.42	€287.30
Spain	€34,999.84	€121.40	€20,478.57	€116.10
UK	€9,803.06	€164.40	€16,574.00	€150.10

Table 8: SMART Calculation -- Supplier by Country

Supplier Country	Organic Crops (tonne)	Organic Livestock (head)	SMART Score
France	692,243.00	860,308.00	86.57
Germany	0.00	861,272.00	65.34
Greece	152,118.00	163,066.00	31.85
Italy	968,425.00	397,187.00	80.81
Netherlands	19,591.00	76,069.00	48.20
Poland	315,269.00	31,102.00	43.03
Portugal	0.00	92,673.00	35.03
Romania	229,794.00	19,870.00	11.85
Spain	382,153.00	219,769.00	65.82
UK	129,297.00	300,788.00	32.98

2.3.2 Cyber Security Risk MitigationsCAPEC list of mitigations[Table here]

3. Disaster Recovery

3.1(Appendix V)4. End Summary

5. Appendix

5.1

SRM\_2022

5.2

6. References